

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/481,126	09/481,126 01/11/2000		Douglas R. Elliott	TEQ11117002	5216
32233	7590	07/05/2006		EXAM	INER
STORM LL	_	DT 4.77.4	DASS, HARISH T		
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 7100				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, T			3693	<u> </u>	

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/481,126	ELLIOTT, DOUGLAS R.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Harish T. Dass	3628				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	4/11/2006.					
	This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 04/11/2006, PROSECUTION IS
 HEREBY REOPENED. New ground of rejections set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter

Art Unit: 3628

which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. For example: Claim 1 (e) includes a limitation "at least one algorithm" which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to follow the steps in specification and assess the value of the patent.

Claim 2 (c) includes a limitation "at least one algorithm" which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to follow the steps in specification and assess the value of the patent.

Claim 2 (d) includes a limitation "at least one algorithm" which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to follow the steps in specification and determine a cash flow stream and assess the value of the patent.

Claim 2 (j) includes a limitation "at least one algorithm" which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to follow the steps in specification and allocate payment from initial user.

Claim 3 (c) includes a limitation "at least one algorithm" which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to follow the steps in specification and assess the value of the patent.

Art Unit: 3628

Claim 2 (d) includes a limitation "at least one algorithm" which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to follow the steps in specification and determine a cash flow stream and assess the value of the patent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected Champion et al (hereinafter Champion – US 5,126,936) in view of Risen, Jr. et al (Hereinafter Risen: US 6,018,714), (Reilly et al "The valuation of health care intangible assets", Health Care Management Review, Gaithersburg, Spring 1997, Vol. 22, Iss 2; pg. 55, 10 pages) hereinafter Reilly and (DeMatteis "From Patent to Profit Secrets & Strategies Fro The Successful Investor", Avery, 1998; ISBN 0-89529-79-1) hereinafter DeMatteis.

Re. Claim 1, Champion discloses a) defining a plurality of investor accounts in at least one electronic database [Champion see entire document particularly, Fig. 2; Abstract; C1 L5 to C3 L55; C5 L64 to C6 L11],

Application/Control Number: 09/481,126 Page 5

Art Unit: 3628

(b) transferring an initial monetary amount from each of a plurality of investors to said investment entity (such as REIT) [C1 L30; C4 L66 to C5 L18 – REIT is an entity where investors transfer their money],

- (c) associating said initial amount from each of said plurality of investors with a respective one of said plurality of investor accounts in the at least one electronic database [Champion-Figures 6, 7a-7B; C1 L5 to C3 L55; C5 L64 to C6 L11; C8 L13-L22],
- (d) identifying the initial ownership of a patent (owner of mutual fund) in the at least one electronic database [Champion Fig.],
- (e) using at least one algorithm for assessing a value of the fund, and entering the assessed value of the patent in the at least one electronic database [Champion -C10 L29-L67], and
- (i) allocating said at least one payment from said initial user ownership to such respective one of said plurality of investor accounts in the at least one electronic database [Champion C4 L1-L28].

Champion, explicitly, does not disclose assessing the value of the patent,

- (f) paying a monetary amount from said investment entity (provide compensation) to said initial ownership of said patent upon the transfer of title (transfer of ownership) to said patent to a subsequent owner other than the plurality of investors,
- (g) granting at least one right under the patent to said initial owner of said patent,

Art Unit: 3628

(h) obtaining at least one payment (partial) from an initial user of said patent.

However, Risen discloses (f) paying a monetary amount from said investment entity (to provide compensation) to said initial ownership of said patent upon the transfer of title (transfer of ownership) to said patent to a subsequent owner other than the plurality of investors [Risen- see entire document particularly, Abstract; C2 L48 to C3 L16; C8 L44 to C9 L43; C26 L12-L33 – it is known that the payment is done by the buyer to seller weather the buyer(s) or seller(s) are individual, corporation, limited partnership, or etc. in exchange for an item, commodity, royalty (licensee to licensor) or property (patent)],

(h) obtaining at least one payment (partial) from an initial user of said patent [C2 L48 to C3 L16; C8 L44 to C9 L43; C26 L12-L33].

Reilly discloses assessing the value of the patent [pages 55-64] to appraise the value of intangible assets for remaining useful life of intellectual property.

DeMatteis discloses granting at least one right under the patent to said initial owner of said patent [see entire document (pages 245-263) particularly pages 245-248, 262 – see also Retaining Ownership page 262] to allow the initial owner to continue marketing the product under sale agreement.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Champion, and include evaluation and transfer of ownership of financial asset (such as

Art Unit: 3628

intellectual property) to new owner, transaction payments, assessing the value of the patent, granting at least one right under the patent to said initial owner of said patent and, as are disclosed by Risen, Reilly and DeMatteis, to appraise the value of the patent for appropriated and reasonable compensation (payment) to purchase the intellectual property, transfer of title of ownership to clear the legal aspect of the deal and issue a license to individual or entity to market the product for future income.

Re. Claim 2, Champion discloses (g) associating with each respective one of said plurality of investor accounts in the at least one electronic database the proportion of the amount paid to the initial owner that came from each respective one of said plurality of investor accounts [Champion-Figures 6, 7a-7B; C1 L5 to C3 L55; C5 L64 to C6 L11; C8 L13-L22],

j) using at least one algorithm for allocating to each respective one of said plurality of investor accounts in the at least one database a portion of said at least one payment from said initial user representing the proportion of the payment to transfer title associated with each respective one of said plurality of investor accounts [Champion –Figures 5-7B; C4 L1-L28; C10 L29-L67].

Champion, explicitly, does not disclose

- (a) identify a patent covering an invention in use by at least an initial user and
 - (b) identifying an initial ownership of the patent, and

(c) using at least one algorithm for assessing the value of the patent based, at least in part, on the anticipated future use of the -patent by the initial user and entering the assessed value of the patent in at least one electronic database, and

- (d) using at least one algorithm (procedure) for determining a cash flow stream containing at least one payment related to the assessed value of the patent, and
- (e) paying in at least one payment to said initial ownership an amount related to the assessed value of the patent in the electronic database in exchange for transfer of title to said patent to a subsequent owner other than the initial ownership, and
- (f) obtaining the amount paid for transfer of title from a plurality of investor accounts,
- (h) granting a license to said initial user for the use of said patent from said subsequent owner in exchange for an agreement by said initial user to make at least one payment to the investment entity related to the assessed value of the patent at a specified time after the payment is made to transfer title, and
- (i) collecting at least one payment from said initial user, said payment being entered into the at least one electronic database.

However, Risen discloses (a) identify a patent covering an invention in use by at least an initial user and

(b) identifying an initial ownership of the patent [Risen - C27 L25-L30], and

Art Unit: 3628

- (e) paying in at least one payment to said initial ownership an amount related to the assessed value of the patent in the electronic database in exchange for transfer of the title to said patent to a subsequent owner other than the initial ownership [C2 L48 to C3 L16; C8 L44 to C9 L43; C26 L12-L33 it is known that the payment is done to immediate seller (subsequent owner) for example, I buy a Sony radio, I pay to merchant not to Sony Corporation], and
- (f) obtaining the amount paid for transfer of title from a plurality of investor accounts [Risen- C2 L48 to C3 L16; C8 L44 to C9 L43; C26 L12-L33],
- (i) collecting at least one payment from said initial user, said payment being entered into the at least one electronic database [Risen- see entire document particularly, Abstract; C2 L48 to C3 L16; C8 L44 to C9 L43; C26 L12-L33].

Reilly, discloses (c) using at least one algorithm (approach) for assessing a value of the patent based, at least in part, on the anticipated future use of the patent by the initial user and entering the assessed value of the patent in at least one electronic database [see pages 55-64 particularly page 57 -- use can be any one including initial user who has the license and pays the licensing fees and the account and evaluation records can be kept in electronic database or in electronic format], and

(d) using at least one algorithm for determining a cash flow stream containing at least one payment related to the assessed value of the patent [pages 55-64 particularly page 57-58 – license transaction].

Art Unit: 3628

DeMatteis discloses (h) granting a license to said initial user for the use of said patent from said subsequent owner in exchange for an agreement by said initial user to make at least one payment to the investment entity related to the assed value of the patent at a specified time after the payment is made to transfer title [see pages 245-263],

additionally, It is known that mathematically, a portion of a payment is proportional of a payment and if the total payment is divided to number of equal payments, than it is a proportional payment (payment = total due/number of payments) and distribution be made based on the portion of the investor ownership.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Champion, and include the above features (features not disclosed by Champion), as are disclosed by Risen, Reilly and DeMatteis, locate an intellectual property for sale and make appropriate acquisition arrangement (evaluate the property(ies) (intangible assets), search title of ownership (individually owner, co-assignee, assigned to a corporation), make payments to buyer, transfer the title similar to purchasing a property) and license the manufacturing, marketing, etc based on the buyer's business model for example, Oracle's purchase of People Software including portfolio of patents, allows the Oracle to keep some of the IP for itself, some to license and may some to sell to others depending on Oracles business choice and future income.

Re. Claim 3, Champion discloses (c) using at least one algorithm for assessing a value of the patent and entering the assessed value of the patent in at least one electronic database [Champion -C10 L29-L67],

- (e1) allocating said payment to the original ownership to a plurality of investor accounts in the at least one electronic database [Champion C4 L1-L28],
- (e2) associating with each account in the at least one electronic database the percentage payment (units) allocated to the original ownership from that account

[Champion-Figures 1, 6, 7a-7B; C1 L5 to C3 L55; C5 L64 to C6 L11; C8 L13-L22 – it is known that the payment has to made to the seller regardless who bought the property, it the property is bought by a company, corporation, or limited partnership, the payment will be paid from company money (account), if an individual buys the property he/she will pay from his/her account],

(h) allocating said at least one payment from at least said original ownership from related to said future cash flow stream to each respective one of said investor accounts in the at least one electronic database in relation to the percentage of payment allocated to the original ownership from that account [Champion – C4 L1-L28 -- it is known that income is distributed based on ownership, if an individual owns 100% he/she gets 100%, if there are four owners with m%, n%, p% and r% ownership they will share the income based on these percentages].

Champion, explicitly, does not disclose (a) identifying a patent, and

Art Unit: 3628

(b) identifying an original ownership of the patent,

- (f) granting a license to at least the original ownership to use the patent in exchange for an agreement to pay the future cash flow stream related to the assessed value of the patent,
- (d) using at least one algorithm (procedure) for determining a future cash flow stream related to the assessed value of the patent before the time title to the patent is acquired from the original ownership,
- (g) collecting at least one payment from said original ownership from said future cash flow stream related to the assessed value of the patent, and
- (e) obtaining title to the patent for a subsequent owner in exchange for paying not more than the assessed value of the patent to the original ownership.

However, Risen discloses (a) identifying a patent, and

(b) identifying an original ownership of the patent [Risen - C27 L25-L30],

Reilly discloses (d) using at least one algorithm (procedure) for determining a future cash flow stream related to the assessed value of the patent before the time title to the patent is acquired from the original ownership [see pages 55-64],

(g) collecting at least one payment from said original ownership from said future cash flow stream related to the assessed value of the patent [see pages 55-64].

DeMatteis discloses (f) granting a license to at least the original ownership to use the patent in exchange for an agreement to pay the future cash flow stream related to the assessed value of the patent [pages 245-263].

Additionally, (e) obtaining title to the patent for a subsequent owner (immediate seller) in exchange for paying not more than the assessed value of the patent to the original ownership, is a business choice by the individuals, partners or corporations.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Champion, and include evaluation and transfer of ownership of financial asset (such as intellectual property) to new owner and payments and accounting procedure for portfolios (fund), as are disclosed by Risen, Reilly and DeMatteis, locate an intellectual property for sale and make appropriate acquisition arrangement (evaluate the property(ies) (intangible assets), search title of ownership (individually owner, co-assignee, assigned to a corporation), make payments to buyer, transfer the title similar to purchasing a property) and license the manufacturing, marketing, etc based on the buyer's business model.

Re. Claim 4, claim 4 is rejected with same rational as claim 1.

Re. Claim 5, claim 5 is rejected with same rational as claim 2.

Re. Claim 6, claim 6 is rejected with same rational as claim 3.

Conclusion

Claims 1-6 are rejected.

Remarks: In page 4 of the Appeal Brief it is noted that "An appeal brief for copending U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/224,219 is currently pending before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences." However, this statement is not correct. Appeal brief for Application Serial No. 10/224,219 is not forwarded to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences as per Examiner's knowledge.

Other related applications are: Application No. 09/758,624 and Application No. 10/224,222.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harish T. Dass whose telephone number is 571-272-6793. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 4:50 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hyung S. Sough can be reached on 571-272-6799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/481,126 Page 15

Art Unit: 3628

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Harish T Dass Examiner Art Unit 3628

6/21/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600